

LU Decomposition Method

Autar Kaw
Benjamin Rigsby

<http://nm.MathForCollege.com>

Transforming Numerical Methods Education for STEM Undergraduates

LU Decomposition Method

<http://nm.MathForCollege.com>

Objectives

1. *LU Decomposition is another method to solve a set of simultaneous linear equations*
2. *Which is better, Gauss Elimination or LU Decomposition?*

LU Decomposition

Method

For most non-singular matrix $[A]$ that one could conduct Naïve Gauss Elimination forward elimination steps, one can always write it as

$$[A] = [L][U]$$

where

$[L]$ = lower triangular matrix

$[U]$ = upper triangular matrix

How does LU Decomposition work?

If solving a set of linear equations

$$[A][X] = [C]$$

If $[A] = [L][U]$ then

$$[L][U][X] = [C]$$

Multiply by

$$[L]^{-1}$$

Which gives

$$[L]^{-1}[L][U][X] = [L]^{-1}[C]$$

Remember $[L]^{-1}[L] = [I]$ which leads to

$$[I][U][X] = [L]^{-1}[C]$$

Now, if $[I][U] = [U]$ then

$$[U][X] = [L]^{-1}[C]$$

Now, let

$$[L]^{-1}[C] = [Z]$$

Which ends with

$$[L][Z] = [C] \quad (1)$$

and

$$[U][X] = [Z] \quad (2)$$

LU Decomposition

How can this be used?

Given $[A][X] = [C]$

1. Decompose $[A]$ into $[L]$ and $[U]$
2. Solve $[L][Z] = [C]$ for $[Z]$
3. Solve $[U][X] = [Z]$ for $[X]$

Is LU Decomposition better than Gaussian Elimination?

$$\text{Solve } [A][X] = [B]$$

T = clock cycle time and $n \times n$ = size of the matrix

Forward Elimination

$$CT|_{FE} = T \left(\frac{8n^3}{3} + 8n^2 - \frac{32n}{3} \right)$$

Back Substitution

$$CT|_{BS} = T(4n^2 + 12n)$$

Decomposition to LU

$$CT|_{DE} = T \left(\frac{8n^3}{3} + 4n^2 - \frac{20n}{3} \right)$$

Forward Substitution

$$CT|_{FS} = T(4n^2 - 4n)$$

Back Substitution

$$CT|_{BS} = T(4n^2 + 12n)$$

Is LU Decomposition better than Gaussian Elimination?

To solve $[A][X] = [B]$

Time taken by methods

Gaussian Elimination	LU Decomposition
$T\left(\frac{8n^3}{3} + 12n^2 + \frac{4n}{3}\right)$	$T\left(\frac{8n^3}{3} + 12n^2 + \frac{4n}{3}\right)$

T = clock cycle time and $n \times n$ = size of the matrix

So both methods are equally efficient.

To find inverse of [A]

Time taken by Gaussian Elimination

$$\begin{aligned} &= n(CT|_{FE} + CT|_{BS}) \\ &= T\left(\frac{8n^4}{3} + 12n^3 + \frac{4n^2}{3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Time taken by LU Decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} &= CT|_{LU} + n \times CT|_{FS} + n \times CT|_{BS} \\ &= T\left(\frac{32n^3}{3} + 12n^2 + \frac{20n}{3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

To find inverse of [A]

Time taken by Gaussian Elimination

$$T\left(\frac{8n^4}{3} + 12n^3 + \frac{4n^2}{3}\right)$$

Time taken by LU Decomposition

$$T\left(\frac{32n^3}{3} + 12n^2 - \frac{20n}{3}\right)$$

Table 1 Comparing computational times of finding inverse of a matrix using LU decomposition and Gaussian elimination.

n	10	100	1000	10000
$CT _{\text{inverse GE}} / CT _{\text{inverse LU}}$	3.288	25.84	250.8	2501

For large n , $CT|_{\text{inverse GE}} / CT|_{\text{inverse LU}} \approx n/4$

Method: $[A]$ Decomposes to $[L]$ and $[U]$

$$[A] = [L][U] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \ell_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ \ell_{31} & \ell_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\ 0 & u_{22} & u_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & u_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$[U]$ is the same as the coefficient matrix at the end of the forward elimination step.

$[L]$ is obtained using the *multipliers* that were used in the forward elimination process

Finding the $[U]$ matrix

Using the Forward Elimination Procedure of Gauss Elimination

$$\begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 64 & 8 & 1 \\ 144 & 12 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Step 1: } \frac{64}{25} = 2.56; \quad \text{Row2} - \text{Row1}(2.56) = \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -4.8 & -1.56 \\ 144 & 12 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{144}{25} = 5.76; \quad \text{Row3} - \text{Row1}(5.76) = \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -4.8 & -1.56 \\ 0 & -16.8 & -4.76 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finding the $[U]$ matrix

$$\text{Matrix after Step 1: } \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -4.8 & -1.56 \\ 0 & -16.8 & -4.76 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Step 2: } \frac{-16.8}{-4.8} = 3.5; \quad \text{Row3} - \text{Row2}(3.5) = \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -4.8 & -1.56 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[U] = \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -4.8 & -1.56 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finding the [L] matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \ell_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ \ell_{31} & \ell_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using the multipliers used during the Forward Elimination Procedure

From the first step of forward elimination

$$\begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 64 & 8 & 1 \\ 144 & 12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \ell_{21} = \frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}} = \frac{64}{25} = 2.56$$
$$\ell_{31} = \frac{a_{31}}{a_{11}} = \frac{144}{25} = 5.76$$

Finding the $[L]$ matrix

From the second step
of forward
elimination

$$\begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -4.8 & -1.56 \\ 0 & -16.8 & -4.76 \end{bmatrix} \quad \ell_{32} = \frac{a_{32}}{a_{22}} = \frac{-16.8}{-4.8} = 3.5$$

$$[L] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2.56 & 1 & 0 \\ 5.76 & 3.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Does $[L][U] = [A]$?

$$[L][U] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2.56 & 1 & 0 \\ 5.76 & 3.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -4.8 & -1.56 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix} = ?$$

Using LU Decomposition to solve SLEs

Solve the following set of linear equations using LU Decomposition

$$\begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 64 & 8 & 1 \\ 144 & 12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 106.8 \\ 177.2 \\ 279.2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using the procedure for finding the $[L]$ and $[U]$ matrices

$$[A] = [L][U] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2.56 & 1 & 0 \\ 5.76 & 3.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -4.8 & -1.56 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example

$$\text{Set } [L][Z] = [C] \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2.56 & 1 & 0 \\ 5.76 & 3.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 106.8 \\ 177.2 \\ 279.2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solve for $[Z]$

$$z_1 = 10$$

$$2.56z_1 + z_2 = 177.2$$

$$5.76z_1 + 3.5z_2 + z_3 = 279.2$$

Example (cont.)

Complete the forward substitution to solve for $[Z]$

$$z_1 = 106.8$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_2 &= 177.2 - 2.56z_1 \\ &= 177.2 - 2.56(106.8) \\ &= -96.2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_3 &= 279.2 - 5.76z_1 - 3.5z_2 \\ &= 279.2 - 5.76(106.8) - 3.5(-96.21) \\ &= 0.735 \end{aligned}$$

$$[Z] = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 106.8 \\ -96.21 \\ 0.735 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example (cont.)

$$\text{Set } [U][X] = [Z] \quad \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -4.8 & -1.56 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 106.8 \\ -96.21 \\ 0.735 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solve for $[X]$

The 3 equations become

$$\begin{aligned} 25a_1 + 5a_2 + a_3 &= 106.8 \\ -4.8a_2 - 1.56a_3 &= -96.21 \\ 0.7a_3 &= 0.735 \end{aligned}$$

Example (cont.)

From the 3rd equation

$$0.7a_3 = 0.735$$

$$a_3 = \frac{0.735}{0.7}$$

$$a_3 = 1.050$$

Substituting in a_3 and using the second equation

$$-4.8a_2 - 1.56a_3 = -96.21$$

$$a_2 = \frac{-96.21 + 1.56a_3}{-4.8}$$

$$a_2 = \frac{-96.21 + 1.56(1.050)}{-4.8}$$

$$a_2 = 19.70$$

Example (cont.)

Substituting in a_3 and a_2 using the first equation

$$25a_1 + 5a_2 + a_3 = 106.8$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{106.8 - 5a_2 - a_3}{25} \\ &= \frac{106.8 - 5(19.70) - 1.050}{25} \\ &= 0.2900 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Solution Vector is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2900 \\ 19.70 \\ 1.050 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finding the inverse of a square matrix

The inverse $[B]$ of a square matrix $[A]$ is defined as

$$[A][B] = [I] = [B][A]$$

Finding the inverse of a square matrix

How can LU Decomposition be used to find the inverse?

Assume the first column of $[B]$ to be $[b_{11} \ b_{12} \ \dots \ b_{n1}]^T$

Using this and the definition of matrix multiplication

First column of $[B]$

$$[A] \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} \\ b_{21} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Second column of $[B]$

$$[A] \begin{bmatrix} b_{12} \\ b_{22} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The remaining columns in $[B]$ can be found in the same manner

Example: Inverse of a Matrix

Find the inverse of a square matrix $[A]$

$$[A] = \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 64 & 8 & 1 \\ 144 & 12 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using the decomposition procedure, the $[L]$ and $[U]$ matrices are found to be

$$[A] = [L][U] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2.56 & 1 & 0 \\ 5.76 & 3.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -4.8 & -1.56 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: Inverse of a Matrix (cont.)

Solving for the each column of $[B]$ requires two steps

- 1) Solve $[L][Z] = [C]$ for $[Z]$
- 2) Solve $[U][X] = [Z]$ for $[X]$

$$\text{Step 1: } [L][Z] = [C] \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2.56 & 1 & 0 \\ 5.76 & 3.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This generates the equations:

$$z_1 = 1$$

$$2.56z_1 + z_2 = 0$$

$$5.76z_1 + 3.5z_2 + z_3 = 0$$

Example: Inverse of a Matrix (cont.)

Solving for $[Z]$

$$z_1 = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_2 &= 0 - 2.56z_1 \\ &= 0 - 2.56(1) \\ &= -2.56 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_3 &= 0 - 5.76z_1 - 3.5z_2 \\ &= 0 - 5.76(1) - 3.5(-2.56) \\ &= 3.2 \end{aligned}$$

$$[Z] = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2.56 \\ 3.2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: Inverse of a Matrix (cont.)

$$\text{Solving } [U][X] = [Z] \text{ for } [X] \quad \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -4.8 & -1.56 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} \\ b_{21} \\ b_{31} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2.56 \\ 3.2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$25b_{11} + 5b_{21} + b_{31} = 1$$

$$-4.8b_{21} - 1.56b_{31} = -2.56$$

$$0.7b_{31} = 3.2$$

Example: Inverse of a Matrix (cont.)

Using Backward Substitution

$$b_{31} = \frac{3.2}{0.7} = 4.571$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_{21} &= \frac{-2.56 + 1.560b_{31}}{-4.8} \\ &= \frac{-2.56 + 1.560(4.571)}{-4.8} = -0.9524 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_{11} &= \frac{1 - 5b_{21} - b_{31}}{25} \\ &= \frac{1 - 5(-0.9524) - 4.571}{25} = 0.04762 \end{aligned}$$

So the first column of the inverse of $[A]$ is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{11} \\ b_{21} \\ b_{31} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.04762 \\ -0.9524 \\ 4.571 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: Inverse of a Matrix (cont.)

Repeating for the second and third columns of the inverse

Second Column

$$\begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 64 & 8 & 1 \\ 144 & 12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{12} \\ b_{22} \\ b_{32} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{12} \\ b_{22} \\ b_{32} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.08333 \\ 1.417 \\ -5.000 \end{bmatrix}$$

Third Column

$$\begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 64 & 8 & 1 \\ 144 & 12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{13} \\ b_{23} \\ b_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{13} \\ b_{23} \\ b_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.03571 \\ -0.4643 \\ 1.429 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: Inverse of a Matrix (cont.)

The inverse of $[A]$ is

$$[A]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.04762 & -0.08333 & 0.03571 \\ -0.9524 & 1.417 & -0.4643 \\ 4.571 & -5.000 & 1.429 \end{bmatrix}$$

To check your work do the following operation

$$[A][A]^{-1} = [I] = [A]^{-1}[A]$$

Additional Resources

For all resources on this topic such as digital audiovisual lectures, primers, textbook chapters, multiple-choice tests, worksheets in MATLAB, MATHEMATICA, MathCad and MAPLE, blogs, related physical problems, please visit

http://numericalmethods.eng.usf.edu/topics/lu_decomposition.html

THE END

<http://numericalmethods.eng.usf.edu>